

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the

District of South Carolina

United States of America) Case No: 4:02-471-010 (TLW)
)
)
 -versus-) USM No: 99208-071
) Pro se _____
) Defendant's Attorney
Darius Black)
)
 Date of Previous Judgment: January 28, 2009)
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG § 1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is **DENIED** (Doc. # 581). This case does not qualify because the Chapter Four Enhancements override Chapter Two. The Defendant is a career offender. Accordingly, the guideline range is unaffected by Amendment 750. To the extent the motion requests counsel, it is deemed **MOOT**. Pursuant to standing Order of the Court, the Federal Public Defenders Office for the District of South Carolina has been appointed to represent defendants, with their consent, to review their files and determine if Amendment 750 of the Fair Sentence Act of 2010 applies to them.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: February 13, 2012

s/ Terry L. Wooten
Judge's signature

Effective Date:
(if different from above)